

Nov 11, 2007

*Docket No. 97-80*

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 3 2007

As a Utah consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Olsen  
1467 N 1250 W  
Orem, UT 84057-6543

Docket 97-80

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 3 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Nov 12, 2007

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDS into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. CHARLES BERRY  
21 Doyle St  
Westbrook, ME 04092-4083

Nov 16, 2007

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Docket No. 97-80

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 3 2007

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Englehorn  
8419 3rd Ave S  
Bloomington, MN 55420-2343

Docket #  
97-80

Nov 20, 2007

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FILED/ACCEPTED

DEC - 3 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDS into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Archambault  
206 1/2 S Martel Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90036-2712

FOR RICHARD ARCHAMBAULT

Complaint Type:

Account Type:

☐ Congressional Complaint ☐

IC Number:	07-F0287243	Case Type:	
Date Received:	11/20/2007	Complainant:	Richard Archambault
Date Entered:	11/26/2007	Date Assigned:	11/26/2007
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:	
Assigned To:	Vivian Jones/FCCIN	Service Date:	
Date Closed:		Response Date:	
Closed By:		Original Analyst:	
Close Letter Needed?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Indecency Referral Code:	

**Current Status: Pending Analyst Review**

[View Complaint](#)

Associated Case:

**Complaint Summary:**

Apparent Carrier(s):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <<< Check here if you wish to serve both a Wireline and Wireless carrier.	
Problem Number:	
Title: None	First Name: Richard
Contact Name:	Richard Archambault
Contact Number:	Ext.
Fax Number:	
Email Address:	
PO Box:	
City:	Los Angeles
Middle Initial:	Last Name: Archambault
Best Time to Call:	
Consumer's Telephone Number:	Ext.
TTY Number:	
Internet Address:	
Address:	206 1/2 S Martel Ave
State: CA	Zip: 90036

On Behalf Of:	
Company Name:	
Party's Name:	Relationship with the Party:
Party's Contact Number: Ext.	PO Box:
	Address:
	City:State:Zip:
Other Party that can be contacted?	
Name:	Relationship:
Contact Number: Ext.	Address:
	City, State: Zip:
**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:	Duplicate Credit Checked: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved with your complaint, including area code:

Phone: Ext:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

Nov 20, 2007

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Archambault  
206 1/2 S Martel Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90036-2712

TCPA Information from 475

1. the telephone number of the individual or company who called or faxed you:
  2. your telephone number(s) on which the call or fax was received:
  3. a description of the telemarketing call, pre-recorded message, or unsolicited fax, including an identification of the company whose products or services were being advertised, and any phone numbers that were included in the call or fax:
  4. the "opt-out" number(s) provided in the call(s) or on the fax(es):  
(List number(s) given in the call(s) or fax(es) for you to contact if you do not want to receive any additional calls or faxes.)
  5. Have you: (a) purchased anything from the company being advertised in the call or fax;  
(b) made an inquiry or application to that company; or (c) given consent to the company to send you the call or fax? If so, please describe and state when you had such contact with the company.
  - (1) Date of Program:
  - (2) Time of Program:
  - (3) Network:
  - (4) Call Sign, Channel OR Frequency of the station on which you viewed/heard the material:
  - (5) City and State Where Program Was Viewed:
  - (6) Name of Program or DJ/Personality/Song/Film:
- Updated? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Ext:  
Ext:

Ext:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type: ☐ Complaint ☐ Inquiry Source Code:

Apparent Carrier(s): Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s): Assigned Subject Code:

Activity Code: Direct Assigned Code Acronym:

Final Responsible Party: Sub-Category:

Additional Sub-Category:

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mediation with Carrier/Complainant?: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Response Type:

**Referral Information**

Date Referred:

Consumer Referral Letter Agency Referral  
Indecent R

Referred To: Agency Name(s): Company Name(s):

General Acknowledgement

Indecent Dismissal

Non-DNC - More Information

DNC - Enforcement

DNC - Exemption

DNC - More Information

DNC - Citation

DNC - No Action

Create TFC

TFAXE

TFAX

TFAX More

TF

TFAX

Actionable Case:

☐ Actionable ☐ NonActionable

DNC Enforcement Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TFAX Enforcement Letter Generated?

DNC More Info Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TFAX Exemption Letter Generated?

DNC Exemption Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TFAX More Info Letter Generated?

Non DNC More Info Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TFAX Citation Letter Generated?

DNC Citation Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Yes ☐ No

TFAX No Action Letter Generated?

DNC No Action Letter Generated?

☐ Yes ☐ No

**Deferment Information**

Date Deferred:

Reason:

None

Date UnDeferred:

**Extension Information:**

Extension Requested: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Extension Granted: ☐ Yes ☒ No

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Created by

OSCARServer

Date

11/26/2007 11:39 AM

Last Edited by

Date